

Translation





PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 2002P17212WO	Notification of Transmittal of International nary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)						
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/yea	r) Priority date (day/month/year)					
PCT/DE2003/002672	08 August 2003 (08.08.2003)	24 January 2003 (24.01.2003)					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or na H01Q 9/04	tional classification and IPC						
Applicant							
SIEMENS AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT							
This international preliminary examinand is transmitted to the applicant account.	 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 						
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).							
These annexes consist of a tota	l of sheets.						
3. This report contains indications relations	ng to the following items:						
I Basis of the report							
II Priority	Priority						
III Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to novelty, inventive	e step and industrial applicability					
v Reasoned statement un citations and explanat	V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;						
VII Certain defects in the	nternational application						
VIII Certain observations o							
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completio	n of this report					
22 March 2004 (22.03.20	0.00	April 2005 (22.04.2005)					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized officer	Authorized officer					
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.						
Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (July 1998)							



International application No.

PCT/DE2003/002672

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

	s or the rep		
1. With		the elements of the international application:*	
		mational application as originally filed	
\boxtimes	the descr	cription:	
	pages	1-8	, as originally filed
	pages _		, filed with the demand
	pages	, filed with the letter of	, illed with the delimite
X	the clain		
الاسكا	ne ciain	•	
	pages _	2-11 1 as amended (together with any out	, as originally filed
i	pages _	, as anomore (together with any state	
1	pages _	filed with the letter of	, filed with the demand
∇	-	, filed with the letter of	
	the draw	-	
	pages _	1/4-4/4	, as originally filed
	pages _	·	, filed with the demand
	pages _	, filed with the letter of	
	the sequen	nce listing part of the description:	
_	pages _	•	11 . 21 . 21 . 2
	pages _		, as originally nied
	pages _	, filed with the letter of	, filed with the demand
These	the language the language or 55.3). In regard to minary examples furnished furnished the state internation	guage of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). guage of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). guage of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international applicate amination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: ed in the international application in written form. gether with the international application in computer readable form. ed subsequently to this Authority in written form. de subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. tement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond to compare that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the content to the content that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the content to the content that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the content to the content that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the content to the content that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the content to the content that the information recorded in computer readable form.	which is: (under Rule 55.2 and/ ation, the international the disclosure in the
4.	the the the This report	endments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages the claims, Nos the drawings, sheets/fig that has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not become a large of the amendments had not become of the amendments ha	
* Replac	cement she	ort has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).** seets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Articles "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not a received.	
and 70	0.17).	as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain ame at sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item I and annexed to this report.	endments (Rule 70.16

INTERNATIONAL PRED INARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Internal application No.
PCT/DE 03/02672

V.	Reasoned statement under Article 3 citations and explanations supporting	, inventive step or industrial app	licability;	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-11	YES
		Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-11	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-11	YES
		Claims		NO

- 2. Citations and explanations
 - 1. Introductory observations
 - 1.1 The embodiment described on page 6 (lines 20-26) and shown in figure 2 does not come under the scope of the present claims, since the multiband antenna arrangement according to figure 2 encompasses only one parasitic element. This contradiction between the claims and the description causes doubt as to the subject matter for which protection is sought, and therefore the claims lack clarity (PCT Article 6).
 - 1.2 The wording "preferably" is not admissible for establishing indubitable rights in claim 11. It is not possible to determine whether the portions of the claim preceded by the term are an explanation, a feature for which protection is sought, a preferred field of application, or an inserted subclaim. In the definition of the class, "preferably" refers to a preferred field of application for the subject matter of the application that is of no consequence for the examination or assessment of the claim and should be included in the description.

- 2. This report makes reference to the following documents:
 - D1: US 2002/163470 A1 (ONAKA KENGO ET AL) 7 November 2002 (2002-11-07)
 - D2: EP-A-1 067 627 (BOSCH GMBH ROBERT) 10 January 2001 (2001-01-10)
 - D3: US 2002/019247 A1 (EGOROV IGOR) 14 February 2002 (2002-02-14)
 - D4: WO 01/33665 A1 (RANGESTAR WIRELESS) 10 May 2001 (2001-05-10).
- 3. Irrespective of the above-mentioned lack of clarity, the subject matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step within the meaning of PCT Article 33(3).
- 3.1 D1 is regarded as the prior art closest to the subject matter of claim 1. It discloses (the reference signs in parentheses refer to D1) a multiband antenna arrangement for mobile radio devices with:
 - a planar patch antenna (14) having at least two resonances (16,17) and a high frequency interface (15,23), and
 - at least two parasitic elements (18b,19b) that are arranged marginally with respect to the planar patch antenna (14), each of which is designed without a high frequency interface, the structures of the planar patch antenna (14) being embodied as flat conductive structures (cf. figure 1).
- 3.2 The subject matter of claim 1 differs from this known multiband antenna arrangement in thata) the patch antenna has a connection to the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

ground, and

- b) the parasitic elements are embodied as linear conductive structures.
- 3.3 It is generally known to a person skilled in the art, however, that the length of a patch antenna can be decreased by means of a short circuit; cf. a), see, for example D2 and/or D3. Therefore, it would be obvious for a person skilled in the art to apply this feature to a multiband antenna arrangement according to D1. The feature that the parasitic elements can be embodied as linear conductive structures, cf. b), is also generally known to a person skilled in the art; cf. D3 and/or D4.

Therefore, the subject matter of independent claim 1 does not involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

- 4. Dependent claims 2-11 contain no features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the PCT requirements for inventive step, since
 - these features are mentioned in D1 (claims 2, 5 and 10), and
 - these features are regarded as obvious (claims 3, 4, 6-9 and 11); see, for example, D2-D4.
- 5. Contrary to the requirements of PCT Rule 5.1(a)(ii), the description neither cites documents D1-D4 nor indicates the relevant prior art disclosed therein.